Response dated June 27, 2006
Reply to Office Action of March 27, 2006

Docket No. 6169-434

Amendments to the Claims:

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions and listings of claims in the instant application:

Listing of Claims:

What is claimed is:

1. (Currently Amended) A method of arranging grammar files in a presentation list, comprising the steps of:

receiving a system request to <u>visually</u> display <u>with a graphical user interface</u> the grammar files in the presentation list; [[and]]

sorting the grammar files by giving user defined based on a first criteria that assigns user-defined grammar files greater priority over built-in grammar files; and

[[then] sorting [[by]] the grammar files according to a second criteria; and

visually displaying the presentation list wherein each user-defined grammar file is visually distinguishable from each built-in grammar file.

- (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein the method further comprises the step of displaying the grammar files when a user selects the grammar files.
- 3. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 1, wherein the method further comprises the step of distinguishing between a user defined grammar and a built in grammar the step of visually displaying comprises presenting the presentation list such that each grammar file is labeled with a label indicating whether the grammar file is a user-defined grammar file or a built-in grammar file.

Response dated June 27, 2006

Reply to Office Action of March 27, 2006

Docket No. 6169-434

4. (Currently Amended) The method of claim [[3]] $\underline{1}$, wherein the step of distinguishing

is accomplished using at least one among labeling and text formatting the step of visually

displaying comprises presenting the presentation list such that each grammar file is

presented in a text format that indicates whether the grammar file is a user-defined

grammar file or a built-in grammar file.

5. (Currently Amended) The method of claim [[3]] 1, wherein the user-defined user-

defined grammar files and the built-in grammar files can share the same name.

6. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein the second criteria is an alphabetical order.

7. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein the second criteria is a chronological

order.

8. (Currently Amended) A system for arranging grammar files in a presentation list

comprises:

a memory; and

a processor programmed to

receive a system request to display the grammar files from the memory in

the presentation list, and to

sort the grammar files by giving user-defined based on a first criteria that

assigns user-defined grammar files greater priority over built-in grammar files and

then,

sorting by sort the grammar files according to a second criteria, and

visually displaying in a graphical user interface the presentation list, wherein each user-defined grammar file is visually distinguishable from each

built-in grammar file.

3

Response dated June 27, 2006

Reply to Office Action of March 27, 2006

Docket No. 6169-434

9. (Original) The system of claim 8, wherein the processor is further programmed to

display the grammar files when a user selects the grammar files.

10. (Currently Amended) The system of claim 8, wherein the processor is further

programmed to distinguish between a user-defined user-defined grammar and a built-in

grammar by displaying the presentation list such that each grammar file is labeled with a

label indicating whether the grammar file is a user-defined grammar file or a built-in

grammar file.

11. (Currently Amended) The system of claim [[10]] 8, wherein the processor is further

programmed to distinguish between a user-defined grammar and a built-in grammar by

using at least one among labeling and text formatting displaying the presentation list such

that each grammar file is presented in a text format that indicates whether the grammar

file is a user-defined grammar file or a built-in grammar file.

12. (Currently Amended) The system of claim [[10]] 8, wherein the user defined user-

defined grammar files and the built-in grammar files can share the same name.

13. (Original) The system of claim 8, wherein the second criteria is an alphabetical

order.

14. (Original) The system of claim 8, wherein the second criteria is a chronological

order.

15. (Original) The system of claim 8, wherein the presentation list is at least one among

a drop-down list and a list box.

4

Response dated June 27, 2006

Reply to Office Action of March 27, 2006

Docket No. 6169-434

16. (Currently Amended) A machine-readable storage, having stored thereon a computer

program having a plurality of code sections executable by a machine for causing the machine to optimally arrange grammar files in a presentation list, comprising the steps of:

receiving a system request to visually display with a graphical user interface the

grammar files in the presentation list;[[and]]

sorting the grammar files by giving user defined based on a first criteria that

assigns user-defined grammar files greater priority over built-in grammar files;

and then sorting [[by]] the grammar files according to a second criteria; and

visually displaying the presentation list wherein each user-defined grammar file is

 $\underline{visually\ distinguishable\ from\ each\ built-in\ grammar\ file}.$

17. (Original) The machine-readable storage of claim 16, wherein the machine-readable

storage is further programmed to sort by the second criteria being an alphabetical order.

18. (Original) The machine-readable storage of claim 16, wherein the machine-readable

storage is further programmed to sort by the second criteria being a chronological order.

5